

Kings Worthy Parish Council

Minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on Monday, 31 January 2022 at 19:30 held in Kings Worthy Community Centre, Fraser Road

Attendees

Councillors Les Haswell (Chair), Sue Cook, Emily Fish, Colin Cossburn, Ian Gordon, Matthew Miller-Hall, Stewart Newell and Charlotte Smith.

Clerk(s)

Parish Clerk (Christopher Read)

Assistant Clerk (Louise Daniel)

Apologies

Cllr Signe Biddle

Cllr Dorry Lawlor

Cllr Mandy Hallisey

Cllr Steve Waters

County & City Councillor(s)

Cllr Jackie Porter (Hampshire County Council [HCC])

Cllr Steve Cramoysan (Winchester City Council [WCC])

Cllr Malcolm Prince (Winchester City Council [WCC])

Cllr Jane Rutter (Winchester City Council [WCC])

Members of the public

Three

PC/22/001- Public question time

A member of the public asked for an update on the lorries using Lovedon Lane to access the Ecogen site. He felt they are dangerous and noted that it is causing damage to the edge of the road. This will be covered under Cllr Porter's (HCC) report.

A second member of the public wanted an update on the status of the Tesco Junction. He had started asking WCC questions on the layby in the summer but due to the recess he did not get an answer until Autumn. He had been told that costings were being obtained and a £50,000 figure was mentioned. He had sent a list of questions to Cllr Cramoysan and Rutter querying the lack of progress on the layby. He felt that the Parish Council should be pushing harder.

Cllr Haswell stated that the Parish Council has no responsibility or powers to act but are in full agreement that something needs to happen.

Another member of public agreed with his comments and also stated that the issue with parking isn't just on Hookpit Farm Lane but also near the bus stop on Springvale Road. Any parking measures need to include this area as well.

PC/22/002 – Hookpit Farm Lane layby update

Cllr Cramoysan gave a verbal update on the status of the layby (based on the attached update). A printed copy of this update was made available for attendees of the meeting.

The City & County Councillors will also be holding a drop-in surgery at Tubbs Hall on the 5th February (09-11am). They will also be delivering a letter to surrounding properties.

A member of the public queried the need to move the high voltage cable which should be at least 1 meter deep. There was also an original plan which would have removed the trees that are now protected but this was rejected by residents. He asked why is there an issue with the layby proposal.

Cllr Cramoysan responded stating that they have to follow the advice of the WCC tree officer.

Another member of the public agreed with the previous public comment stating that a cover or shield is what is required; perhaps 6-9 inches of concrete.

It was asked why this proposal was not costed originally. Cllr Porter stated that the funding was based on a rough estimate made by WCC. This is where the £50,000 allocation figure came from.

A member of public asked the Parish Council if they would be willing to support a Traffic Regulation Order to allow yellow lines in that area.

Cllr Gordon responded that the Council would be prepared to support that but noted that the previous application was turned down due to public objection from local residents.

PC/22/003 – To receive written reports from City & County Councillors and Parish Councillors following external meetings

HCC report (see attached) – A copy of Cllr Porter's report had been circulated to members before the meeting.

Cllr Porter gave an update on the Ecogen application.

Ecogen had submitted a reply to HCC to lay out how they would deal with the issues raised. HCC have concerns with the effect on both other road users and character of the area. They are meeting with the applicant next week.

Cllr Haswell asked Cllr Porter that if an agreement cannot be made on safety for this application, where does that leave the existing permission. Cllr Porter responded stating that the current use is unlikely to exceed the permitted 5% of total movement. However, the edges of the road are already starting to break up and there are issues with the size of the vehicles.

A member of public stated that they had safety concerns particularly at the railway bridge on Stoke Charity Road.

The consultation process was queried and Cllr Gordon responded stating that the Parish Council objected to the original WCC change of use application. The application to allow Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGVs) was after this. No letters were sent to Stoke Charity Road or beyond as part of the consultation process.

Cllr Cossburn stated whilst he was not a Parish Councillor at the time, he had looked at the application. The application stated that the traffic volume would be same (or less) than the egg farm. However, the vehicles are considerably larger than the small vehicles that were accessing the egg farm.

(1 member of the public left at this point)

WCC report (see attached) – A copy of the WCC report had been circulated to members before the meeting.

It was stated that the comments referring to 'we' in relation to the Little Kitchen Company does not refer to Cllr Rutter.

Cllr Smith asked if there had been a consultation on the potential allotments in the North Road/Westfield Road area. Cllr Rutter responded stating that an informal consultation had been carried out and approximately 60-70% of response were in favour. However, both parking and water supply are an issue for this site. Westfield Road is a private road thus any access would have to be via North Road.

External meeting to provide an update on the Tesco Layby– A meeting to discuss the Tesco Layby had been held between the City & County Councillors, Chair & Vice-Chair of the Council, Chair & Vice-Chair of the Planning and Highways committee and the Clerk. This took place on the 24th January and was to provide the same update that had been presented to the meeting.

PC/22/004 – Impact of Coronavirus (Covid-19)

It was agreed to keep the current measures and advice in place, particularly given the local case rates.

(Cllr Rutter left at this point)

PC/22/005 – Agree and sign the minutes of the Parish Council meeting on 29 November 2021

The minutes were agreed as a true record of the meeting and signed by the Chair.

PC/22/006 – Matters arising from the Extraordinary Parish Council meeting on the 29 November 2021

None.

PC/22/007 – To receive the minutes of the committees

Recreation & Amenities (R&A) Committee – Before the meeting all Councillors had received a copy of the minutes for the meeting held on the 13 January 2022.

Finance, Administration & Remuneration (FAR) Committee – Before the meeting all Councillors had received a copy of the minutes for the meeting held on the 08 December 2021 & 27 January 2022.

- Management report for month ending 31st December 2021 (see attached) – It was agreed to review this at the same time as the draft outturn and budget.
- Fuel cost increase to Grounds Contractor – It was agreed to ratify the recommendation to pay Green Smile an additional £315.90 (for April 2022 – March 2023). This is to cover a change in government legislation that prevents the use of red diesel in ride on mowers.
- Grant application for Worthies Sports & Social Club – It was agreed to ratify the decision to grant the Worthies Sports & Social Club (in conjunction with Worthys Youth Football Club) £1,500.00. This will be paid on receipt of invoices equal to the same amount or more.
- Potential new accounting software package – A demonstration of the new software is being arranged and the date will be shared with all Cllrs to allow them to attend if they wish.

Planning & Highways (P&H) Committee – Before the meeting all Councillors had received a copy of the minutes for the meeting held on the 14 December 2021 & 25 January 2022.

PC/22/008 – Forecast Outturn 2021/22 & Budget 2022/23

The Clerk gave a presentation of the accounts for the month ending 31 December 2021.

The Clerk also presented the draft Forecast Outturn 2021/22 and Budget 2022/23; no changes were made.

It was unanimously agreed to increase the precept to £174,863.00. Whilst this is a small increase in the total precept it will result in a small decrease in the precept per band d average.

A vote of the thanks was given the committee Chairs and the Clerk for their hard work.

PC/22/009 – Communications (incl. Website / Facebook / Newsletter / Monthly Comms. Etc.)

Cllr Smith noted that the comms group are currently looking at drafting the second biannual newsletter. She asked all Cllrs to send her anything they would like to be considered for inclusion.

PC/22/010 – Climate Change

It was agreed to include climate change information from WCC in the next newsletter.

Cllr Smith stated that she feels the Parish Council need to look at what we are doing to promote initiatives such as Electric Vehicle charging. Also, R&A need to consider at tree planting and the use of more sustainable materials.

Cllr Gordon noted that HCC are looking at turning streetlights off to save money. The P&H committee had looked at this when preparing their budget but the cost savings were minimal. Cllr Fish also added that this would have an impact on public safety and crime.

PC/22/011 – CPR Training

Cllr Miller-Hall had approached both a local paramedic and general practitioner who are interested. Cllr Miller-Hall will investigate this further and provide an update.

PC/22/012 – Clerk’s Notices

The Clerk noted that he will be on annual leave from 1st – 7th February 2022.

PC/22/013 – Chair’s Notices

None.

PC/22/014 – Items for discussion at the next meeting on the 28 February 2022

None.

Meeting Closed at 20:54.

Signed:

Date:

Tesco Junction Issues: The problems with a lay-by

Your local City and County Council Council representatives have looked at the provision of a lay-by on Hookpit Farm Lane at the Tesco Express from every possible angle, and we are afraid that we have now to accept that a lay-by here in Kings Worthy is just not possible. The issues are looked at in detail below, as well as possible other mitigating measures that may be taken if still considered necessary.

1) What makes this lay-by impossible to deliver?

- **Trees** – The clump of 4 mature trees and the ash tree at the entrance to the Tesco car park are threatened as their root systems would be destabilised by the construction of the lay-by. Whilst not Grade A trees (currently B & C grade) there has been an objection from the City Council Tree Officer based on their visual amenity value. They are all now currently the subject of an emergency Tree Protection Order. They are also in the land owned by the Tesco landlord. Their fate is the decision of the land-owner and they are not trees owned by WCC *. Avoiding the tree roots and protecting the trees will mean that only a seriously reduced length can be taken for the lay-by, allowing only 2 small cars to park there.

- **Safety and effectiveness** - A regulation width layby would extend from the road right into the middle of the footpath, through which run several services. We met with Hampshire Highways has agreed to consider a sub-standard width lay-by, taking only the width of the current grass verge and not encroaching on the pavement. However, car doors would then be opening directly into the footpath. It would not allow cars to be completely off the carriageway, and whilst two cars could pass carefully, lorries and vans would still potentially have to give way, causing traffic hold-ups as at present. Given the need to protect the tree roots, there may still be on-road parking in addition, at either end of the lay-by, causing further and possibly worse confusion at the junction.

- **Land Ownership** – The grass verge is unregistered land and an owner has not been established. Neither HCC or the City Council has any land ownership in the vicinity (apart from the adopted highway). Ownership would have to be clear before the works could be considered at all.

- **Cost** – **IF** the above issues could be overcome, the cost estimates were between £80 and £135K: this is broken down as follows: £30 - £35k for the construction of a lay-by and an additional £50 - £100k for the diversion of services under the current verge (BT and Virgin Media). These are estimates based on previous schemes of this nature; the service providers can't provide a final quote until a detailed scheme is designed, which in turn would involve further cost. One of the problems here is that the services have an access hatch in the verge, which would have to be moved to the pavement.

2) ***Who owns the Trees and land?** The land from the edge of the footpath towards Tesco – and indeed the car park - is owned by the landlord of the building in which Tesco is located. This landlord also owns the Trees and would expect compensation for the loss of any of them. **This cost could be considerable, is impossible to predict and would be in addition to the basic costs indicated above.** If a standard regulation width lay-by were the plan, the footpath would need to be diverted into the "Tesco" car park – with the consequent problems of ownership, cost and reduction in the number of spaces in the car park.

3) ***Why is it an issue to take down the trees?** Apart from the fact that they are on private land, they are an intrinsic part of the street scene. Retaining trees wherever possible is a high priority for climate action and bio-diversity reasons. There would also be a huge outcry from many people in Kings Worthy if WCC were to take action that would cause the loss of those trees. It would also undermine the WCC planning position when protecting trees on land that developers want to build on. They are considered under threat by the Tree Officer and as such have been put under an emergency Tree Protection Order.

4) Why has it taken so long to reach this decision?

- The previous leader of the council – Cllr Horrill - who made the commitment to investigate the layby possibility during the Top Field planning process, presumably did not know what would be involved in its creation.

- On Lib Dems taking control in 2019 it was discovered there was no money set aside in the Council budget for this work. Jackie Porter and Malcolm Prince as Cabinet members at that time ensured that £50,000 from City

Council Community Infrastructure Levy monies was set aside for this work, and for improving the footpath from Top Field across the old railway bridge to Wesley Road.

- Despite repeated requests, it is only in the last few months that officers have been definite in their message that the lay-by is not possible to deliver. City Councillors robustly challenged this finding, and we all met City Council officers on site for a final look at what was possible on Monday 10th January, with follow-up meetings with County Safety Officers and the WCC Tree Officer on 24th and 25th January.
- We have very reluctantly agreed that a lay-by as expected is just not possible at this location.
- The budget allocation does at least mean that the funds are available to improve the footpath link along the old railway line to connect to Kim Bishop Walk, although that too faces limitations as it goes through sensitive ecological habitats, but your City Councillors are working to resolve these issues too.

5) Value for money with public funds: When a lay-by was mooted by the previous administration as part of the Top Field development, the costs were speculated to be in the vicinity of £15,000. The expected costs of the lay-by would far exceed the £50K budget that Jackie Porter and Malcolm Prince were able to secure for this and other works. A proposal to spend so much to provide a compromised 2 space lay-by that would not resolve the street parking issues would be rightly challenged as not “good use of public money”.

Summary: Taking all these reasons into account, we, your city and county council representatives, have reluctantly accepted that this lay-by is just not possible. We believe we have gone as far as we can in trying to solve the challenges, and it is better to accept this, and look for alternative methods if there is still a need locally to address the parking problems. We are keen to listen to views on alternative methods to address the traffic problems caused by people parking at this junction, which we acknowledge.

Double Yellow Lines? The traffic builds up around the junction when people are parking next to the Tesco car park, in the road, rather than in the car park. People use these spaces even when there are spaces available in the car park. A possible mitigation could be offered by double yellow lines, just on both sides of the road at this point, regularly enforced. We are aware that a previous scheme to limit parking on the road in this area did not have the support of local residents. We will only pursue this IF we have clear evidence of community support.

Encouraging Tesco to improve and extend the car park? Whilst not in their ownership, Tesco must have some influence with their landlord to insist that the car park servicing their store – and the hairdresser next door – should be improved. Demolition of the garages could offer more spaces and using the un-used rear garden area behind the shops for unloading delivery vehicles would take them out of the main car parking area, thus encouraging more people to use the car park. Your local councillors will be seeking an urgent site meeting with representatives from the store to discuss the untidy and badly maintained state of the car park, and the suggested improvements.

Walking and Cycling Can Help: People could be encouraged to walk and cycle to the store. An all-weather level surface on the path to Wesley Road will enable more people to use that route for accessing buses, the school and other local facilities, helping to reduce the traffic using the junction. It will also encourage people accessing the wonderful new large area of Public Open Space at Top Field to walk there along the path rather than drive through the junction and park at the end of the road. **This is in any event a top priority for your local City Councillors, and we are working with officers to make it happen.**

Our email addresses are below for you to register your views. We will be available in the Tubbs Hall, Fraser Road, on Saturday 5th February from 9am to 11am for a councillors’ surgery, if you would like to discuss this further in person with us.

Jane Rutter, Steve Cramoysan, Malcolm Prince and Jackie Porter

jrutter@winchester.gov.uk scramoysan@winchester.gov.uk mprince@winchester.gov.uk jackie.porter@hants.gov.uk

Report from City Councillors to the Kings Worthy Parish Council meeting on Monday 31st January 2022.

Firstly, we hope it is not too late to wish you all a very Happy New Year.

Worthys ward updates

Tesco Express Lay-by –

We will provide a verbal update at the Parish Council meeting on Monday 31st January a shorter version of the pre council briefing we provided to your Chair & Vice Chair of Parish Council, and the Chair & Vice Chair of the planning Committee, on Thursday 27th January. We will also provide a document that details the status.

Wellhouse Lane/Railway arch closure

The Wellhouse Lane closure, which is scheduled to last 14 weeks, to allow Cala to install the traffic lights and new footway/cycle route under the bridge started on Monday 10th January. There is some confusion as this work has been advertised as merely to install a 'footway'. This work was in fact included in the original planning agreement for the Kings Barton development, and goes beyond a "footway", with traffic light controls for vehicles and a pedestrian phase, lighting and re-routing of a plethora of services. It was demanded by Hampshire Highways as a necessary local improvement, and part of the full application eventually agreed by the Secretary of State at the time, Eric Pickles. It followed extensive consultation and has been in the pipeline for at least ten years.

New Allotments off North Road/Somerville Road?

Jane continues to work her way through the City Council bureaucracy to ascertain if the piece of underused open space behind North Road/Somerville Road/Castle Rise can be passed over to the Parish Council for a peppercorn rent for use as allotments. She has 'in principle' agreement from the Cabinet member responsible and hopes to make more progress on this soon. Any progress will be reported regularly to Mandy Hallisey as Chair of the relevant Parish Council Committee.

Local Planning matters

We are engaging and supporting residents in St Mary's Close and London Road, who have significant concerns regarding Little Kitchen Company planning application. We are liaising with Cllr Ian Gordon, and Cllr Porter, to ensure that we provide the best possible support.

Strategic Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (SHELAA)

For any of you who are not familiar with the process, the planning authority Winchester City Council, is legally obligated to invite landowners and other stakeholders to submit any pieces of land or buildings that they wish to be considered to be included in the Shelaar report as potential development locations. The key word is "potential". It does not mean that any approval has been granted. It is a "land-owners wish-list". It would be extremely unusual for many of these to be brought forward for development in the next few years. Click on the following link and select Kings Worthy:

<https://www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/winchester-district-local-plan-2018-2038-emerging/shlaa-strategic-housing-land-availability-assessment>

You may be interested in this piece by "The Dever Society", in response to scare stories in the press and elsewhere about the Shelaar. In short it was untrue! Read more here on Jackie's blog page:

<https://jackieporter.mycouncillor.org.uk/2022/01/28/the-scare-stories-about-50000-homes-are-untrue/#page-content>

Winchester Movement strategy – consultation

You may have heard of the Winchester Movement Strategy – WMS. The objectives of this are:

“Reduce city centre traffic, support healthier lifestyle choices and invest in infrastructure to support sustainable growth”.

This is a long-term strategy designed to determine in advance the needs and wants of the community, while balancing that off with the constraints of a city with a road network established over centuries, and of course funding constraints. The idea is to be ready and prepared to bid for funding opportunities in a way that will result in a coherent transport network for the future.

The following link will take you to an online survey. There is also one remaining date, 2nd February, for an online webinar style session.

<https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportchemes/winchester-movement-strategy>

These consultations are important to engage with as they provide people an opportunity to shape the future.

Riverpark Leisure Centre/University of Southampton proposal

Newspaper reports that the Winchester Skate Park is threatened by the proposed leasing arrangement for redevelopment of the site to Southampton University are incorrect. As Cabinet member responsible, Kelsie Learney, said in the Chronicle of 27th January, “The inclusion of the skate park does NOT mean that this facility will be lost. Under the lease the University will be required to maintain this facility. The University has said publicly that they are very keen to improve and enhance the skate park and they will be seeking to involve users in this process. Under the terms of the lease the skatepark will remain a community asset, accessible by all.”

Winchester Lights in the City runs from Saturday January 29 to Sunday February 6

It has been organised by Winchester City Council with Winchester Business Improvement District (BID), Play to the Crowd, Hampshire Cultural Trust and Winchester Cathedral.

<https://www.visitwinchester.co.uk/event/lights-in-the-city/>

The managing director of the contractor, is a Kings Worthy Resident, well known for her support & commitment to the Scout group in the Worthys.

Recent & Upcoming City Council Meetings

[Council Meeting Wednesday 12th January, 6.30pm](#)

The Council meeting started at 6.30 and ended at about 1am. It commenced with a tribute to Cabinet Member Lynda Murphy who died of cancer shortly before Christmas. She was an inspirational local councillor, whose work on climate change and the environment has helped push Winchester to the forefront of local authorities addressing climate change.

There were several petitions including one from the group campaigning for a Lido.

Without lowering the importance of the other agenda items, the main item of business was The Central Winchester Regeneration project, which has reached a stage where the next step is to go out to procurement. The precise wording of the motion was:

“That the Strategic Director with responsibility for the Central Winchester Regeneration project be authorised to initiate and conduct the procurement process for the selection of a development partner for the Central Winchester Regeneration project.”

I am pleased to report that after much debate in the chamber, including a failed attempt to push the project back a stage, the motion was passed which means that the project can move forward. To be clear, this is not the end of it, as we can expect that there will be future decisions to be made.

There is an enormous amount of documentation behind this project. Here is the link to the recording and Public papers for Council meeting:

<https://democracy.winchester.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=138&MId=2725&Ver=4>

This proposal was also extensively scrutinised in the Scrutiny meeting on 6th December which led to a revised paper and presentation at Cabinet on 22nd December. Details are available below should you wish to look through the materials yourself.

Cabinet meeting 22nd December 2021

<https://democracy.winchester.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=136&MId=2908&Ver=4>

A Presentation document providing the highlights, the updated paper, and a video recording of the meeting are available should you wish to review this yourself.

Scrutiny meeting 6th December 2021

<https://democracy.winchester.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=348&MId=2881&Ver=4>

There is an extensive paper & Video recording of the meeting – nearly 5 hours.

We trust that this provides some confidence that this project has been fully scrutinised, despite what you may see in the local press or hear from some campaigners.

Health and Environment Policy committee 19th January 2022

This covered papers on Carbon Neutrality, Management of Open Space, The future of Waste & recycling, and an Air Quality report. Each of these papers was interesting. I'd draw attention to the Future of Waste & Recycling paper as it gave insight into a long term strategy for WCC and HCC. It is linked into national policies that are intended to rationalise standards across England, and to reduce waste and drive up the proportion that is recycled. Former Chair of KWPC, Sarah White attended in her role as a supporter of a Worthys-based community recycling group. She challenged the officers and cabinet member to advise on nearer term options to recycle plastics among others.

The papers and recording are here:

<https://democracy.winchester.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=350&MId=2909&Ver=4>

Future meetings

8th February M3/J9 Consultation

Your city councillors have been invited to a briefing on the proposed works at M3/J9 on Tuesday 8th February. We will be able to report back to you on that at your next meeting.

Upcoming Winchester City Council meetings

15th February: Scrutiny Committee - Steve is a member. The main item of business will be the various reports that make up the WCC budget setting process.

16th February: Planning committee – Jane is Vice-Chair. Agenda not set yet.

17th February: Cabinet.

23rd February: Full Council - I believe that the main business item will be the update on the annual budget.

If you were interested to see the agendas, or attend as a member of the public, you can find your way to the relevant City Council committee/ cabinet /council via this link:

<https://democracy.winchester.gov.uk/mgListCommittees.aspx?bcr=1>

To attend you need to pre-register. Agenda papers are generally posted on the relevant site 7 full days in advance.

We would welcome any questions you may have about this report.

Jane Rutter, Steve Cramoysan and Malcolm Prince.

28th January 2022

Management Accounts for the period ending 31st December 2021

Income

Department/committee	Annual Budget	Budget Year to Date	Actual Year to Date	Variance Year to Date	2021/22 Forecast Outturn	2022/23 Budget
Community Infrastructure Levy	£12,884.51	£9,663.38	£50,329.96	£40,666.58	£50,329.96	£0.00
Neighbourhood Plan	£9,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£9,000.00
Finance, Administration & Remuneration	£173,733.08	£130,557.99	£129,785.09	-£772.90	£172,795.00	£172,768.00
Kings Worthy Community Centre	£4,399.44	£3,299.58	£1,647.00	-£1,652.58	£4,399.44	£4,022.00
Planning & Highways	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Recreation & Amenities	£5,560.00	£4,842.45	£4,841.70	-£0.75	£7,243.70	£6,450.00
Totals:	£205,577.03	£148,363.39	£186,603.75	£38,240.36	£234,768.10	£192,240.00

Expenditure

Department/committee	Annual Budget	Budget Year to Date	Actual Year to Date	Variance Year to Date	2021/22 Forecast Outturn	2022/23 Budget
Community Infrastructure Levy	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Neighbourhood Plan	£9,000.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£9,000.00
Finance, Administration & Remuneration	£81,705.67	£63,326.50	£61,999.70	£1,326.80	£85,841.97	£84,426.00
Kings Worthy Community Centre	£10,315.89	£8,108.82	£5,748.91	£2,359.91	£7,672.25	£8,483.00
Planning & Highways	£7,175.00	£3,289.87	£3,239.37	£50.50	£7,499.29	£11,405.00
Recreation & Amenities	£81,257.55	£49,671.61	£49,817.38	-£145.77	£82,775.44	£71,571.00
Totals:	£189,454.11	£124,396.79	£120,805.36	£3,591.43	£183,788.94	£184,885.00

	Annual Budget	Budget Year to Date	Actual Year to Date	Variance Year to Date	2021/22 Forecast Outturn	2022/23 Budget
Actual Year to Date Position	£16,122.92	£23,966.60	£65,798.39	£41,831.79	£50,979.15	£7,355.00

Memorandum position – Excluding Community Infrastructure Levy receipts and expenditure

	Annual Budget	Budget Year to Date	Actual Year to Date	Variance Year to Date	2021/22 Forecast Outturn	2022/23 Budget
Memorandum Year to Date Position:	£3,238.41	£14,303.22	£15,468.43	£1,165.21	£649.19	£7,355.00

Memorandum position – including play area transfer reserve

	2022/23 Budget
Memorandum Position (as above):	£7,355.00

Play area reserve transfer:	£10,000.00
------------------------------------	-------------------

Revised position:	-£2,645.00
--------------------------	-------------------

Precept required for balanced budget (including projects):	£174,863.00
---	--------------------

Projects/Major Changes in 2022/23 Budget

Project	Funded From	Cost
Bus shelter refurbishment	R&A	£4,900.00
Election expenses*	FAR	£3,500.00
Change in sub-lease (estimate)	KWCC	£665.19
Speed Initiatives	P&H	£1,320.00
Streetlights (based on forecast outturn)	P&H	£2,910.00
Total:		£13,295.19

***WCC Electoral Services have verbally confirmed this is a reasonable budget**

Balance Sheet for period ending 31st December 2021

<u>Bank Accounts</u>	
Unity Trust Bank Current Account	£9,412.11
Unity Trust Tailored Deposit Account	£94,555.20
Sub-Total:	£103,967.31

<u>Investments/Deposits</u>	
Nationwide variable (35 day notice)	£55,000.00
Hampshire Trust Bank Variable (45 day notice)	£42,313.17
Hampshire Trust Bank Variable (90 day notice)	£42,686.83
United Trust Bank Variable (100 day notice)	£5,260.27
Sub-Total:	£145,260.27

<u>Other</u>	
B4B Procurement Card	£328.60
Debtors	£250.29
Prepayments	£0.00
Sub-Total:	£578.89

Total Current Assets:	£249,806.47
------------------------------	--------------------

Current Liabilities	
Trade Creditors	£7,479.66
Retentions	£0.00
Received on Account (inc. Precept)	£43,054.50
PAYE Payments Due	£261.60
NI Payments Due	£516.57
Pension Payments Due	£933.26
VAT to be Paid	£35.96
VAT to be Reclaimed	-£4,261.58
VAT that has been Reclaimed but not received	£0.00
Total Current Liabilities:	£48,019.97

Current Assets Minus Liabilities:	£201,786.50
--	--------------------

Earmarked Funds in Reserve	
Church Green Reserve	£4,979.49
Play area maintenance reserve	£34,053.22
CIL Reserve	£25,179.42
Total Current Liabilities:	£64,212.13

Net Assets	
Profit & Loss Accounts Brought Forward	-£8,558.49
General Reserves (inc. £19,297.65 for tree works)	£80,334.47
Profit & Loss Year to Date	£65,798.39
Total Net Assets:	£137,574.37